

Shoulder & Elbow

<http://sel.sagepub.com/>

The Evidence for the Management of Deep Infection after Rotator Cuff Repair

Christopher D. Smith, Tony Corner, Chetan S. Modi and Steve Drew

Shoulder & Elbow 2011 3: 138

DOI: 10.1111/j.1758-5740.2011.00114.x

The online version of this article can be found at:

<http://sel.sagepub.com/content/3/3/138>

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

On behalf of:

[British Elbow & Shoulder Society](#)

Additional services and information for *Shoulder & Elbow* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://sel.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://sel.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

>> [Version of Record](#) - Jul 1, 2011

[What is This?](#)

The evidence for the management of deep infection after rotator cuff repair

Christopher D. Smith*, Tony Corner†, Chetan S. Modi† & Steve Drew†

*Warwick University-Clinical Science Research Institute, Coventry, UK

†Department of Orthopaedics, University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire, Coventry, UK

ABSTRACT

The incidence of deep wound infection following rotator cuff repair is rare, although it can cause significant problems with respect to diagnosis and treatment. Sub-optimal management may result in the loss of the chondral surfaces, the rotator cuff and the deltoid musculature, and also result in septic arthritis of the glenohumeral joint. This review presents data from 10,457 rotator cuff repairs with 92 confirmed deep wound infections. It discusses the rate and presentation of infections, as well as the bacteria involved and the treatment used. From this, a set of principles to guide best practice is proposed.

Received

Received 5 August 2010;
accepted 11 January 2011

Keywords

Rotator cuff tears, infection, septic arthritis

Conflicts of Interest

None declared

Correspondence

Chris Smith, Clinical Sciences Research Institute,
Clifford Bridge Road,
Coventry CV2 2DX, UK.
Tel.: +44 (0)2476 96 8618.
Fax: +44 (0)2476 96 98617.
E-mail: christopher.d.smith@warwick.ac.uk
DOI:10.1111/j.1758-5740.2011.00114.x

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of deep wound infection following rotator cuff repair is rare, although it can cause significant problems with respect to diagnosis and treatment. Sub-optimal management may result in the loss of the chondral surfaces, the rotator cuff and the deltoid musculature, and also result in septic arthritis of the glenohumeral joint. This review presents the data from the literature and proposes a protocol to manage infections post-rotator cuff repairs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A systematic review of the literature was performed using the Medline (NCBI) and the Embase (Ovid) database using the keywords: 'rotator cuff' AND 'pyogenic' OR 'Septic' or 'Sepsis' OR 'infected' OR 'infective' OR 'complications'. Abstracts were then reviewed to identify publications presenting data on the diagnosis and management of deep infections after rotator cuff repair. The definition of a deep infection for the present study is one that requires surgical intervention and has had deep wound samples sent for culture. The references of all relevant articles were cross-referenced to search for further relevant articles. A meta-analysis of the data was then performed.

RESULTS

Seven papers were identified and reviewed in full [1–7]. In total, 92 cases of deep infections following rotator cuff repair were available for analysis.

The infection rate for an all arthroscopic technique is compared with an all open technique and a combined technique of

arthroscopic decompression with open rotator cuff repair (Table 1). One paper [6] did not identify what technique was used and is excluded from Table 1.

The associated co-morbidities (Table 2) and the time after surgery that the diagnosis of a deep infection was made (Table 3) are presented.

The clinical symptoms and inflammatory markers are shown in Table 4. The organisms identified are presented in Table 5. Some patients grew more than one organism; thus, in total, 106 organisms were identified.

The management protocols used are presented in Table 6. One paper [6] that involved chronic infections (presenting greater than 6 weeks after operation) is not included.

The deltoid muscles was not repairable in 33 cases (62%) out of 53 cases where its condition at final debridement was recorded [1,4].

DISCUSSION

This review of the literature demonstrates that little is known about the management of deep infections after rotator cuff repairs. This is partly a result of the rarity of the condition and the likely under-reporting of complications following surgery. One study specifically examined patients with chronic infections [6]. This group of patients has a poor prognosis, with extensive salvage surgery being required to obtain any function. The aim of our review was to attempt to define the best management of deep infections and avoid the consequences of delayed and sub-optimal treatment.

Table 1 Infection rate by type of surgery

Surgery type	Total number	Infected (%)
All arthroscopic Brislin et al. [3]	263	1 (0.4)
All open Settecerri et al. [8], Mansat et al. [9]	3043	18 (0.6)
Arthroscopic decompression and open cuff Herrera et al. [10], Kwon et al. [11]	2265	21 (0.9)
Not specified Athwal et al. [2]	4886	39 (0.8)
Total	10,457	79 (0.8)

Table 2 Associated co-morbidities

Co-morbidity	Number	Percentage
None	69	77.5
Diabetes	10	11.2
Hypothyroidism	3	3.4
Rheumatoid arthritis	2	2.2
Cancer	1	1.1
Immunosuppressed	1	1.1
Scleroderma	1	1.1
Drug addiction	1	1.1
Lymphoedema	1	1.1
Total	89	100

Table 3 The time after surgery that the diagnosis of a deep infection was made

Time after surgery (weeks)	Number	Percentage
< 3	27	29
< 6	62	67
> 6	30	33
Total	92	100

The overall deep infection rate from the 10,457 rotator cuff repairs included in the study is 0.8% and compares favourably to an infection rate of 0.14% to 1.7% [10,12–14] for anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions and a infection rate of 1.8% following primary shoulder arthroplasty [15]. There appears to be little difference in the infection rates for the different techniques used. However, one study [3] with the highest infection rate (1.9%) used a combined surgical technique (arthroscopic subacromial decompression and open cuff repair) and suggested that the fluid extravasations during arthroscopy may decrease the efficacy of pre-operative skin preparation [3]. They advised repeat skin preparation and draping after arthroscopy and prior to the open incision. They reported no further cases of infection using this protocol in 200 cases. Increased infection rates after knee arthroscopy have been related to prolonged operating

time, multiple procedures and previous steroid injections [11]. Co-morbidities will also affect infection rates and the rate of deep infection after open rotator cuff repair in insulin-dependent diabetics has been documented as 6.6% [16]. However, the vast majority of patients presented in this cohort did not have underlying medical conditions.

Of the patients presented, approximately one-third presented before 3 weeks, two-thirds before 6 weeks and the remaining third after 6 weeks. There was only one (1.6%) possible case of osteomyelitis reported for patients presenting before 6 weeks, although the exact time postoperatively that this patient presented is not documented [1]. This compares to 11 (84.6%) cases of osteomyelitis of the humeral head or clavicle for chronic infections (at least 12 weeks post-surgery) [6].

Clinical presentation cannot be relied on for diagnosis of deep shoulder infections and inflammatory markers cannot be relied on to rule out deep shoulder infections. In a review of 89 cases of large joint septic arthritis (knee, shoulder and ankle), C-reactive protein (CRP) was raised in 95% of patients when positive cultures were found and in only 65% of patients when cultures were negative [9]. In that study, the shoulder had the biggest delay between diagnoses after surgery compared to knee and ankle infections.

None of the cases in this cohort used frozen section in the diagnosis of infection. Frozen section has been used in infected failed rotator cuff repairs, at the time of surgery to decide whether to proceed to a one-stage reverse arthroplasty or a two-stage arthroplasty [17]. It has been shown to have a good ability to rule-in an infection, although a poor ability to rule-out an infection following hip and knee arthroplasty [18]. This would suggest it could be a useful tool in chronic low grade infection, rather than an acute postoperative infection.

The most common organism isolated is *Propionibacterium acnes*, although almost half of the cases are related to *Staphylococcus* infection. *Staphylococcus* infection in primary shoulder sepsis (with no previous surgical intervention or needle insertion) and secondary to all causes is similar, but only 0% to 5% of cases are related to *P. acnes* [8,19]. This difference in prevalence of *P. acnes* demonstrated between postoperative patients and native shoulder sepsis could be a result of poor detection because it can take up to 15 days to grow in enriched broth [20]. It has also been argued that it is a contaminant [20] or it could be a true pathogen introduced from surgical intervention.

P. acnes is a non spore-forming anaerobic commensal bacillus of the sebum rich hair follicles of the skin. It has low virulence and can be difficult to diagnose in patients with no fever, normal blood tests and no local inflammation. A study of 50 patients with *P. acnes* infected prosthetic joint replacements (hip, knee shoulder) demonstrated that only 38% had signs of fever and only 16% had local inflammation [20]. The erythrocyte sedimentation rate in patients with *P. acnes* infected prosthetic joint replacements was raised above 30 mm in only 34% to 36% of cases and C-reactive protein was raised above 10 mg/L in 32% to 64% of cases [20,21].

P. acnes has been found to be more prevalent over the shoulder than the knee or hip and men have a significantly greater prevalence over the shoulder than women. However, the bacterial burden of

Table 4 Inflammatory markers and clinical presentation at diagnosis

	White cell count	C-reactive protein	Erythrocyte sedimentation rate	Pain	Erethyma	Wound drainage
Present (%)	91.5	83.4	25.5	52.1	28.1	20.2
Absent (%)	8.5	16.6	74.5	47.9	71.9	79.8
Total sampled	71	12	51	73	89	89

Table 5 Organisms identified

Organism	Percentage	Gram stain	Type
<i>Propionibacterium acnes</i>	40.6	+ve	Aerotolerant anaerobic
<i>Coagulase negative Staphylococcus</i>	22.6	+ve	Aerobic
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	21.7	+ve	Aerobic
<i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i>	4.7	+ve	Aerobic
<i>Corynebacterium</i>	2.8	+ve	Aerobic
<i>Peptostreptococcus</i>	1.9	+ve	Anaerobic
<i>Bacillus</i>	1.9	+ve	Aerobic
<i>Streptococcus</i>	0.9	+ve	Anaerobic
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	0.9	-ve	Aerobic
<i>Proteus</i>	0.9	-ve	Anaerobic
<i>Enterococcus</i>	0.9	+ve	Anaerobic

P. acnes was not significantly different to *Staphylococcus* over the shoulder [22].

It is known to be resistant to metronidazole and sensitive to penicillin and clindamycin [21].

The treatments used for confirmed deep infections vary considerably with the open, arthroscopic and combined debridements presented. There does not appear to be a consensus for the duration of intravenous and oral antibiotics. Outcomes following these regimes are difficult to assess. Only 18% of repairs were intact following infection and all of the studies attempted re-repair if possible at the last debridement.

Septic arthritis of large joints has been staged into four stages [9,23] and is summarized in Table 7. Some studies have suggested that cases of stage III septic arthritis or greater present only after 3 weeks of symptoms [9]. Arthroscopic debridement in large joint septic arthritis has shown a successful rate of 91% (95% stage I and II, 67% stage III) and only one patient out of 10 required removal of metalwork [23]. The authors performed open washout of stage IV cases and stated that arthroscopic debridement is not successful in stage IV. Retention of suture material after rotator cuff repairs in one study did not appear to affect outcome [4] and it has been suggestion that sutures may be left *in situ* if the organism grown is *P. acnes* [3].

Arthroscopic management of native septic arthritis (non-operative cases) of the glenohumeral joint showed eradication of infection with one debridements in 14 out of 19 patients [24]. The remaining five patients requiring more than one debridement had bone and cartilage erosion on a magnetic resonance imaging

(MRI) scan, which would be staged as stage IV. They performed debridement of both the subacromial space and glenohumeral joint with a shaver and lavage of at least 5 L of saline. It is argued that a synovectomy during debridement should be avoided if possible to preserve a barrier against migration of the microorganism [23].

This suggests that arthroscopic debridement has a good chance of irradiating infection if treated early and this would avoid the concern of an irreparable deltoid after repetitive open debridement. This occurred in almost two-thirds of the cases presented in our review. The loss of deltoid and the rotator cuff will result in significant functional impairment and limits future treatment options.

Although the literature is limited, we propose some recommendations that may aid to reduce the risk of postoperative infection:

1. Prophylactic antibiotic use that covers *Staphylococcus* and *P. acnes*.
2. Repeat skin preparation and draping after arthroscopic intervention and before open procedures.
3. Avoidance of prolonged operating time.
4. Avoidance of excessive steroid injections prior to surgery.

To diagnose an infection postoperatively, a high index of suspicion is required. Clinical signs and raised inflammatory markers are highly indicative, if present, but cannot be relied upon to rule out infection. Normal inflammatory markers may indicate *P. acnes* infection. Aspiration should be performed early in a theatre setting with the provision to proceed to an arthroscopic washout if the aspirate is suggestive of infection.

Arthroscopic washout should enter both the subacromial space and glenohumeral joint. Three deep tissue samples should be taken from both spaces and sent for microbiology with a specific request for *P. acnes* culture. Debridement with the shaver should be performed in both spaces with at least 5 L of saline. Debridement should include redundant suture material, although sutures may be left *in situ* if still forming part of a viable repair in early infection (grades I to III). After debridement, antibiotics should be commenced to cover *Staphylococcus* and *P. acnes* until definitive microbiology results are available. An MRI scan should be requested to exclude bony changes.

Reasonable indications for open debridement would be:

1. Cartilage damage seen on initial arthroscopic debridement (grade IV).
2. Bony changes seen on MRI scan (grade IV).
3. Failure to resolve the infection after two arthroscopic debridements.

Table 6 Treatments prescribed

Paper	Treatment	Intact repair	Average number of debridements	Intravenous antibiotics (weeks)	Oral antibiotics (weeks)
Settecerri et al.	Open debridement and left open between debridements	2/16	3.5	2 to 4	2 to 4
Herrera et al.	Sutures removed if repair failed. Loosely closed and drain	3/7	2	2 to 6	± 2
Athwal et al.	30/39 open irrigation 9/39 open and arthroscopic 18/39 left open 21/39 closed and drain 5/39 antibiotic cement	8/39	3.3	1 to 8	± 3
Brislin et al.	Arthroscopic with removal of sutures	1/1	1	6	0
Kwon et al.	NA	0/14	2.6	2.5 to 12	1 to 7
Mansat et al.	Open debridement	NA	1	NA	NA
Total		14/77(18%)			

NA, not available.

Table 7 The four stages of septic arthritis [20,21]

Stage	Intra-articular findings	Imaging
I	Opacity of fluid Synovial reddening	Normal
II	Pus Fibrous deposits	Normal
III	Thickening of synovial membrane 'Sponge-like' compartment formation Villous synovitis and adhesions	Normal
IV	Aggressive panus Cartilage damage	Erosions Cysts Osteolysis

Open debridement in these situations should include removal of all suture material and suture anchors.

It would also appear appropriate to continue with antibiotics until the inflammatory markers have returned to normal in those cases that initially had raised markers.

In summary, this present review has highlighted what can be gained from the literature with respect to the detection and management of deep wound infections after rotator cuff surgery. Based on this evidence, a set of principles to guide best practice have been proposed.

References

1. Athwal GS, Sperling JW, Rispoli DM, Cofield RH. Deep infection after rotator cuff repair. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2007; 16:306–11.
2. Brislin KJ, Field LD, Savoie FH III. Complications after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. *Arthroscopy* 2007; 23:124–8.
3. Herrera MF, Bauer G, Reynolds F, et al. Infection after mini-open rotator cuff repair. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2002; 11:605–8.

4. Kwon YW, Kalainov DM, Rose HA, Bisson LJ, Weiland AJ. Management of early deep infection after rotator cuff repair surgery. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2005; 14:1–5.
5. Mansat P, Cofield RH, Kersten TE, Rowland CM. Complications of rotator cuff repair. *Orthop Clin North Am* 1997; 28:205–13.
6. Mirzayan R, Itamura JM, Vangness CT Jr, et al. Management of chronic deep infection following rotator cuff repair. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2000; 82A:1115–21.
7. Settecerri JJ, Pitner MA, Rock MG, Hanssen AD, Cofield RH. Infection after rotator cuff repair. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 1999; 8:1–5.
8. Lossos IS, Yossepowitch O, Kandel L, Yardeni D, Arber N. Septic arthritis of the glenohumeral joint. A report of 11 cases and review of the literature. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1998; 77:177–87.
9. Vispo Seara JL, Barthel T, Schmitz H, Eulert J. Arthroscopic treatment of septic joints: prognostic factors. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg* 2002; 122:204–11.
10. Wang C, Ao Y, Wang J, et al. Septic arthritis after arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a retrospective analysis of incidence, presentation, treatment, and cause. *Arthroscopy* 2009; 25:243–9.
11. Armstrong RW, Bolding F, Joseph R. Septic arthritis following arthroscopy: clinical syndromes and analysis of risk factors. *Arthroscopy* 1992; 8:213–23.
12. Indelli PF, Dillingham M, Fanton G, Schurman DJ. Septic arthritis in postoperative anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin Orthop* 2002; 398:182–8.
13. Schollin-Borg M, Michaelsson K, Rahme H. Presentation, outcome, and cause of septic arthritis after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction: a case control study. *Arthroscopy* 2003; 19:941–7.
14. Williams RJ III, Laurencin CT, Warren RF, et al. Septic arthritis after arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. Diagnosis and management. *Am J Sports Med* 1997; 25:261–7.
15. Coste JS, Reig S, Trojani C, et al. The management of infection in arthroplasty of the shoulder. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2004; 86:65–9.
16. Chen AL, Shapiro JA, Ahn AK, Zuckerman JD, Cuomo F. Rotator cuff repair in patients with type I diabetes mellitus. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2003; 12:416–21.
17. Cuff DJ, Virani NA, Levy J, et al. The treatment of deep shoulder infection and glenohumeral instability with debridement, reverse shoulder arthroplasty and postoperative antibiotics. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2008; 90:336–42.
18. Della VC, Parvizi J, Bauer TW, et al. Diagnosis of periprosthetic joint infections of the hip and knee. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2010; 18:760–70.

19. **Duncan SF, Sperling JW.** Treatment of primary isolated shoulder sepsis in the adult patient. *Clin Orthop* 2008; 466:1392–6.
20. **Zeller V, Ghorbani A, Strady C, et al.** Propionibacterium acnes: an agent of prosthetic joint infection and colonization. *J Infect* 2007; 55:119–24.
21. **Dodson CC, Craig EV, Cordasco FA, et al.** Propionibacterium acnes infection after shoulder arthroplasty: a diagnostic challenge. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2010; 19:303–7.
22. **Patel A, Calfee RP, Plante M, Fischer SA, Green A.** Propionibacterium acnes colonization of the human shoulder. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2009; 18:897–902.
23. **Stutz G, Kuster MS, Kleinstuck F, Gächter A.** Arthroscopic management of septic arthritis: stages of infection and results. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc* 2000; 8:270–4.
24. **Jeon IH, Choi CH, Seo JS, et al.** Arthroscopic management of septic arthritis of the shoulder joint. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2006; 88:1802–6.